

REMARKS

Examiner Interview

The applicant's representative wishes to thank the Examiner for the opportunity to discuss the claim language in the in person interview that took place on April 12, 2005. All the independent claims were amended to match the changes proposed to claim 1 in the Examiner Interview.

35 USC §112, Second Paragraph Rejections

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention.

Consistent with the proposed amendment derived in the Examiner Interview held April 12, 2005 all independent claims have been amended in a similar manner. Therefore, as indicated in the interview summary sheet this amendment overcomes the 35 USC §112, second paragraph, rejection. Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 1-27 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, claims 1-27, as amended, are in condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is respectfully requested.

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS,
HANSON & BROOKS, LLP



George N. Stevens
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 36,938

GNS/nrp
Atty. Docket No. **991094**
Suite 1000
1725 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 659-2930



23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE